

Project: Hui – To discuss Resource Consent Application and Propsoed Development of Onoke, Dip Road, Kamo with Ngāti Kahu O Torongare and Te Parawhau

Date: 15 March 2022

Time: 12.30pm

Attendees: Pari Walker, Mira Norris, Georgina Olsen Te Parawhau
 Roger Quinton, Yvonne Masefield, Kaylee Wilson, Whangārei District Council
 Mark Holland, Dayle Widdup, and Melissa McGrath Onoke Heights Limited (the applicant)

Apologies: Waimarie Bruce and Matua Richard Sheperd on behalf of Ngāti Kahu O Torongare
 Ben Pittman Te Parawhau

Item	Detail	Action
1	Whanaungatanga	
2	<p>Mira and Pari outlined interest in the application and site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application stated that the land contained no Sites of Significance to Māori; • Concerning area is not identified in WDP or NRP as historically it was identified as a Site of Significance to Māori Significance to Māori in the Town and Country County Plan led to Mira registering an interest in the application. • Onoke is a critical site, wāhi tapu. It is a place of death. • Because it is a place of death it is wrong to allow a housing development and will result in effects on the residents. • Onoke is a place where people were killed, died and there is blood in the ground. • Bodies of people were floated down the awa as moving on from this world. • Retirement village might be okay, elderly waiting to die, impact would be less that it would be on younger people. 	
3	<p>Kaylee, asked if the entire piece of land is affected or certain parts. Pari and Mira confirmed that it is mainly where the housing development is indicated. And that tapu is not limited to one place or is limited by fixed boundaries.</p>	
4	<p>Georgina noted that the importance of the site has been lost from the WDP, the applicant does not recognise the importance and relies upon the fact that the WDP does not recognise the site. The</p>	

	application does not take into account additional matters, and take into account mauri and obligations of keeping people safe. Georgina asked applicant to articulate how the application has addressed this.	
5	Melissa summarised that the application had been prepared based upon the information available and to comply with the WDP keeping within the RDA and Controlled rules.	
6	Yvonne summarised Council's position that the proposal would be a discretionary activity under SUB-R2 because the entire area is a Site of Significance, based upon the definition of historic heritage which includes Sites of Significance to Maori an area does not have to be mapped in the WDP.	
7	Pari detailed the history of the land, referring back three dynasties. Acknowledged that Mark had done his research and has only just now found out the history of the site.	
8	Te Parawhau see the next step is to prepare a CIA, Pari sees benefit of someone from the developer's team working closely along site Te Parawhau to learn.	
9	Melissa stated that the applicant will take time consider information presented and position moving forward. Seeking legal advice with respect to Council's rule interpretation. Mark outlined his concern about discovering this now after doing due diligence and checking with Council did not raise concerns.	
10	Pari noted that Te Parawhau have not been involved with any other discussions and felt the need to front up and outline concerns having previously understood Ngāti kahu had been handling it. Pari supports a CIA as the next step.	
11	Georgina confirmed that she sent Alister an email and asked him to korero. Roger Quinton, Mark Holland and Melissa McGrath were included in this email.	
12	Applicant took a moment out of discussion to confer.	
13	Council and Te Parawhau discussion about Council's work identifying Sites of Significance to Māori. This was general discussion about the various chapters of the District Plan specifically the Tāngata Whenua Chapter.	
14	Mark asked Te Parawhau to please take time to confirm how long it will take to prepare a CIA?	